

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 MOODY W. TANKSLEY,

No. CIV S-08-2881-CMK-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 ARANDA, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
18 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 9, 2009, the court determined that plaintiff's complaint was
19 appropriate for service and directed plaintiff to submit documents for service by the United
20 States Marshal within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to submit the required
21 documents may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply
22 with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 11-110. To date, plaintiff has not submitted the
23 required documents as directed. Specifically, plaintiff has failed to submit the required eight
24 copies of his second amended complaint.

25 ///

26 ///

1 Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why
2 this action should not be dismissed for failure to submit the required service documents. Plaintiff
3 is again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the
4 reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders.
5 See id.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 DATED: July 20, 2009

9 
10 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26